

Yermo School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

Published During 2019-20

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Yermo School
Street	38280 Gleason St.
City, State, Zip	Yermo, CA 92398
Phone Number	(760) 254-2931
Principal	Katherine Henson
Email Address	khenson@svusdk12.net
Website	http://silvervalley.k12.ca.us/yermo/
County-District-School (CDS) Code	36-73890-6035455

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Silver Valley Unified School District
Phone Number	(760) 254-2916
Superintendent	Jesse M. Najera
Email Address	jnajera@svusdk12.net
Website	www.svusdk12.net

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Principal's Message

Hello families of Yermo School students. The purpose of the School Accountability Report card is to provide parents and community members with information about Yermo School's instructional programs, academic achievement, materials and facilities, and the staff.

We have made a commitment to provide the best educational program possible for our students. The outstanding quality of our school is a reflection of our highly committed staff. We are dedicated to ensuring that our school provides a welcoming and stimulating environment where students are actively involved in learning academics, as well as positive values. Through our hard work, together, our students will be challenged to reach their maximum potential.

Parents and community play a very important role in our schools. Understanding our educational program, student achievement, and curriculum development can assist both our school and the community in ongoing improvement.

Yermo School Mission

Yermo School students will achieve at their maximum potential in a safe, engaging, inspiring, and challenging learning environment. Yermo School will work in partnership with students, families and the community to ensure that each student acquires the knowledge, 21st Century Learning skills and core values necessary to achieve personal success and to enrich the community.

Community & School Profile

Located in the rural high desert of Southern California, 126 miles from Los Angeles and 144 miles from Las Vegas, Silver Valley Unified School District educates nearly 2,200 students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve. Covering an area of 3,200 square miles, the district serves the communities of Calico, Daggett, Fort Irwin, Ludlow, Newberry Springs, and Yermo.

Yermo School is located in the Mojave Desert off of Interstate 15. Yermo School serves approximately 380 Transitional Kindergarten through eighth grade students on a traditional calendar schedule..

The district is comprised of seven school sites including four elementary schools, one middle school, one comprehensive high school, and an alternative education center.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	44
Grade 1	28
Grade 2	37
Grade 3	42
Grade 4	32
Grade 5	39
Grade 6	47
Grade 7	53
Grade 8	39
Total Enrollment	361

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	5
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.1
Asian	1.4
Filipino	0.3
Hispanic or Latino	46.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.1
White	38.2
Two or More Races	6.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	84.8
English Learners	4.7
Students with Disabilities	19.7
Foster Youth	1.1
Homeless	11.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	17.5	18	18	100.5
Without Full Credential	3	4	4	23.5
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2019

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Silver Valley Unified School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2019-20 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment. The date of the most recent resolution of the sufficiency of textbooks is September 10, 2019.

All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials in core subjects, as well as, foreign language and health (as appropriate), for use in the classroom and to take home if necessary. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the district must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and frameworks, with final approval by the Board of Education. The table displays information collected in August 2019 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school.

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill Adopted 2017	Yes	0%
	McGraw Hill Adopted 2018		
Mathematics	Pearson Adopted 2018	Yes	0%
	Pearson Adopted 2015		
Science	Pearson Elevate Adopted 2019	Yes	0%

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2006	Yes	0%
	Glencoe/McGraw Adopted 2006		
Health	Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2006	Yes	0%
	Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2010		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Yermo School was originally constructed in 1949 and fully modernized in 1994. The campus is situated on 19.6 acres and comprised of 27 classrooms, a library, a multipurpose room, a staff lounge, an office building, and two playgrounds.

Cleaning Process

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal and director of Maintenance and Operations both work with the custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Maintenance & Repair

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the District's maintenance staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by Silver Valley Unified School District, including regular facilities inspections, to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention.

Repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: DEC 2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Good	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	42	32	53	48	50	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	35	31	39	39	38	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	236	231	97.88	2.12	32.03
Male	122	120	98.36	1.64	23.33
Female	114	111	97.37	2.63	41.44
Black or African American	17	16	94.12	5.88	18.75
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	109	109	100.00	0	29.36
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
White	89	87	97.75	2.25	34.48
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	200	195	97.50	2.5	26.15
English Learners	21	20	95.24	4.76	25.00
Students with Disabilities	48	48	100.00	0	6.25
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	32	29	90.63	9.37	41.38

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	236	231	97.88	2.12	30.74
Male	122	120	98.36	1.64	27.5
Female	114	111	97.37	2.63	34.23

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Black or African American	17	16	94.12	5.88	25
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--			--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	109	109	100	0	28.44
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
White	89	87	97.75	2.25	34.48
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	200	195	97.5	2.5	22.05
English Learners	21	20	95.24	4.76	30
Students with Disabilities	48	48	100	0	8.33
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	32	29	90.63	9.37	31.03

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5			

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Parents are encouraged to undertake an active role in their child's education. Parents are welcome to volunteer in the classrooms, chaperone school events and field trips, and participate in the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO). Parents also have a chance to affect school policy through participation in the School Site Council (SSC).

Volunteer packets are available in the Yermo School Office. For more information for volunteer opportunities at the school, you can contact the office at 760-254-2931. You can reach the PTO at YermoPTO@gmail.com.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	4.9	4.6	7.4	3.2	3.0	4.1	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Yermo School. All guests to the campus must sign in at the office and wear a visitor's badge at all times. Supervision is provided to ensure the safety of each student before school, during breaks, at lunch, and after school. Supervision is a responsibility shared among all staff including noon-duty aides, teachers, support staff, and school administration.

To safeguard the well-being of students and staff, Comprehensive School Site Safety Plans have been developed for each site by the district. The Safety Plans were most recently updated in March 2019, with all revisions reviewed immediately with the staff. Key elements of the Safety Plan focus on the following: school rules and procedures, disaster procedures, current status of school crime, notification to teachers, child abuse reporting procedures, school-wide dress code, routine and emergency drills, sexual harassment policy, policies related to suspension and expulsion, maintaining a safe and orderly school environment, safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees.

The school is always in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Safety drills, including fire, earthquake and intruder drills, are held regularly.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19
	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+
K	108	1	2	2	74	2	1	1	88	1	1	1
1	28		1		24		1		28		1	
2	17	2			20	2			27		1	
3	18	1	1		30		1		25		2	
4	30		1		31		1		22		1	
5	20	1		1	37	3		1	52	1	1	1
6	18	15	2		25	3	20		23		16	
Other**					9	1			10	1		

*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	.0

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$4,385	\$82	\$4,303	\$76,350
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,233	\$72,410
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	1.6	5.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$72,949.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-54.3	4.6

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

In addition to general state funding, Silver Valley Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Part A, Educationally Disadvantaged Children
- Title II, Part A, Teacher/Principal Training and Recruiting

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,596	\$46,208
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$70,993	\$72,218
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,913	\$92,742
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,486	\$134,864
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$108,075	\$118,220
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$115,272	\$127,356
Superintendent Salary	\$173,400	\$186,823
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	30%	33%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2018-19)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	27	31	43

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element of a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated twice a year and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by the principal, who has been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluations are based on the "California Standards for the Teaching Profession" which include the following: engaging and supporting all students in learning, understanding and organizing subject matter for student learning, assessing student learning, creating and maintaining effective environments for student learning, planning instruction and designing learning experiences for all students, and developing as a professional educator.

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. Teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. Topics are chosen that support the district's LCAP and are based on both student and teacher need and by using achievement data. Professional development is offered during regular PLCs, through conferences (out of district), through the SELPA, and through individual mentoring.

2017-2018 staff development topics included: MobyMax training, Pat Pavelka (ELA), Next Generation Science Standards training, Singapore Math training, AVID Summer Institute, Building effective classroom training, PBIS training, new SPED teacher training.

2018-2019 staff development topics included: AVID Summer Institute, MTSS training, Pat Pavelka (ELA), Singapore Math Training, Alicia O'Neli (Math and Science), PBIS Training, CPI Certification, SPED teacher training.

2019-2020 staff development topics included: AVID Summer Institute, MTSS training, Pat Pavelka (ELA), Singapore Math Training, Kristin Hilty (Math), Staci McDaniel (Math), Edmentum, PBIS Training, CPI Certification, SPED teacher training.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA), now induction, support provider and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Program.